arXiv:1210.7581v1 [math.OA] 29 Oct 2012

# Continuous minimax theorems

Madhushree Basu and V.S. Sunder
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India
e-mail: madhushree@imsc.res.in, sunder@imsc.res.in

October 30, 2012

### Abstract

This short note grew out of the realization that a sort of extremal characterization of the distribution of a self-adjoint operator affiliated to a finite von Neumann algebra[1] (see [BV93]) is equivalent to the classical Courant-Fischer-Weyl minimax theorem (see, e.g. [CH89]), when the von Neumann algebra specializes to a matrix algebra. This note was the result of our search for a similar extension of the classical minimax theorem of Ky Fan's, as in [Fan49], to such a von-Neumann algebraic setting.

## 1 Introduction

In order to even describe our result, it will be convenient to re-prove the well-known fact that any monotonic function with appropriate one-sided continuity is the distribution function of a random variable $X$ - which can in fact be assumed to be defined on the familiar Lebesgue space $[0, 1)$ equipped with the Borel $\sigma$-algebra and Lebesgue measure. (We shall adopt the convention of [BV93] that the distribution function $F_\mu$ of a probability measure $\mu$ defined on the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}$ of Borel sets in $\mathbb{R}$, is *left-continuous*; thus $F_\mu(x) = \mu(-\infty, x)$)

**Proposition 1.1.** *If $F : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is monotonically non-decreasing, left continuous and satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} F(t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to+\infty} F(t) = 1 \ , \tag{1.1}$$

*there exists a monotonically non-decreasing right-continuous function $X : [0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F$ is the distribution function of $X$, i.e., $F(t) = m(\{s : X(s) < t\})$, where $m$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1)$.*

*Proof.* Define $X : [0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X(s) &= \inf\{t : F(t) > s\} \\ &= \inf\{t : t \in E_s\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $E_s = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : F(t) > s\} \ \forall s \in [0, 1)$. (The hypothesis 1.1 is needed to ensure that $E_s$ is a non-empty bounded set for every $s \in [0, 1)$ so that, indeed $X(s) \in \mathbb{R}$.)

---

[1] The only von Neumann algebras considered here have separable pre-duals

First deduce from the monotonicity of $F$ that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 \leq s_2 &\Rightarrow E_{s_2} \subset E_{s_1} \\ &\Rightarrow X(s_1) \leq X(s_2) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $X$ is indeed monotonically non-decreasing.

The definition of $X$ and the fact that $F$ is monotonically non-increasing and left continuous are easily seen to imply that $E_s = (X(s), \infty)$, and hence, it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} X(s) < t &\Leftrightarrow \exists t_0 < t \text{ such that } F(t_0) > s \\ &\Leftrightarrow F(t) > s \text{ (since } F \text{ is left-continuous)} \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Hence, if $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$m(\{s \in (0,1) : X(s) < t\}) = m([0, F(t)) = F(t). \tag{1.4}$$

□

Given a self-adjoint element $a$ in a von Neumann algebra $M$ and a (usually faithful normal) tracial state $\tau$ on $M$, define $\mu_a(E) = \tau(1_E(a))$ (for the associated scalar spectral measure). We shall write $F_a, X_a$ for what was called $F_\mu, X_\mu$ above, for this $\mu_a$. (To be pedantic, one should also indicate the dependence on $(M, \tau)$, but the trace $\tau$ and the $M$ containing $a$ will usually be clear.) Note that only the abelian von Neumann subalgebra $A$ generated by $a$ and $\tau|_A$ are relevant for the definition of $F_a$ and $X_a$.

For $M, a, \tau$ as above, it was shown[2] in [BV93] that

$$1 - F_{\mu_a}(t) = \max\{\tau(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}(M), pap \geq ta\}. \tag{1.5}$$

**Example 1.2.** *Let* $M = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ *with* $\tau$ *as the unique tracial state on this* $M$*, and if* $a = a^* \in M$ *has distinct eigenvalues* $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$*, then* $F_a(t) = \frac{1}{n}|\{j : \lambda_j < t\}| = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j}{n} 1_{(\lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}]}$*. We see that the distinct numbers less than 1 in the range of* $F_a$ *are attained at the* $n$ *distinct eigenvalues of* $a$*, and further that equation 1.5 for* $t = \lambda_j$ *is seen to say that* $n - j + 1$ *is the largest possible dimension of a subspace* $W$ *of* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *such that* $\langle a\xi, \xi \rangle \geq \lambda_j$ *for every unit vector* $\xi \in W$*. In other words, it is not hard to see that equation 1.5 is just a reformulation of the classical Courant-Fischer minimax theorem - at least when* $a$ *has distinct eigenvalues. It is also true and not hard to see that the right side of equation 1.5 is indeed a maximum (and not just a supremum), and is in fact attained at a spectral projection of* $a$*; i.e., the two sides of equation 1.5 are also equal to* $\max\{\tau(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}(A), pap \geq ta\}$*, where* $A = \{a\}''$*.*

---

[2] Actually Bercovici and Voiculescu consider possibly unbounded self-adjoint operators affiliated to $M$, so as to also be able to handle probability measures which are not necessarily compactly supported, but we shall be content with the case of $a \in M$

## 2 The main result

We now wish to proceed towards obtaining non-commutative counterparts of the classical Ky Fan's minimax theorem formulated for appropriate self-adjoint elements of appropriate finite von Neumann algebras, along the lines of the discussion in the foregoing example. We begin with the necessary analogue of equation 1.5.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let* $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ *be a probability measure, and suppose* $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a random variable. Let* $\sigma(Y) = \{Y^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and let* $\mu = P \circ Y^{-1}$ *be the distribution of* $Y$*. Then, for any* $s \in F_\mu(\mathbb{R})$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf\{\int_{\Omega_0} Y dP : \Omega_0 \in \sigma(Y), P(\Omega_0) = s\} \\
&= \inf\{\int_E f_0 d\mu : E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu(E) = s\} \\
&= \inf\{\int_G X_\mu dm : G \in \sigma(X_\mu), m(G) = s\} \\
&= \int_0^s X_\mu dm,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

*where* $f_0 = id_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and* $m$ *denotes Lebesgue measure on [0,1).*

*Proof.* The version of the change of variable theorem we need says that if $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{B}_i, P_i), i = 1, 2$ are probability spaces and $T : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is a measurable function such that $P_2 = P_1 \circ T^{-1}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_2} g dP_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} g \circ T dP_1 \ , \tag{2.7}$$

for every bounded measurable function $g : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

For every $\Omega_0 \in \sigma(Y)$, which is of the form $Y^{-1}(E)$ for some $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, set $G = X_\mu^{-1}(E)$. Notice, from equations 1.3 and 1.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
m \circ X_\mu^{-1}(-\infty, t) &= \mu(\{s \in [0, 1) : X_\mu(s) < t\}) \\
&= \mu(\{s \in [0, 1) : s < F_\mu(t)\}) \\
&= F_\mu(t) \\
&= \mu(-\infty, t) \ ;
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $m \circ X_\mu^{-1} = \mu = P \circ Y^{-1}$. Now, set $g = 1_E \cdot f_0$. Since $g \circ Y = 1_E \circ Y \cdot Y = 1_{Y^{-1}(E)} Y = 1_{\Omega_0} Y$, and (similarly) $g \circ X_\mu = 1_G X_\mu$, we see that the first two equalities in 2.6 are immediate consequences of two applications of the version stated in equation 2.7 above, of the 'change of variable' theorem.

As for the last, if $G \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1)}$ with $m(G) = s$, then write $I = G \cap [0, s), J = [0, s) \setminus I, K = G \setminus I$ and note that $G = I \coprod K, [0, s) = I \coprod J$ (where $\coprod$ denotes disjoint union, and $K = G \setminus [0, 1) \subset [s, 1)$. So we may deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_G X_\mu dm - \int_0^s X_\mu dm &= \int_K X_\mu dm - \int_J X_\mu dm \\
&\geq X_\mu(s) m(K) - X_\mu(s) m(J) \\
&\geq 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

since $s_1 \in J, s_2 \in K \Rightarrow s_1 \leq s \leq s_2 \Rightarrow X_\mu(s_1) \leq X_\mu(s) \leq X_\mu(s_2)$ (by the monotonicity of $X_\mu$), and $m(K) = m(J) = s - m(I)$. Thus, we see that

$$\inf\{\int_E f_0 d\mu : E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu(E) = s\} \geq \int_0^s X_\mu dm \ ,$$

while conversely, if $s = F_\mu(t), E = (-\infty, t)$, then $[0, s) = X_\mu^{-1}(E) \in \sigma(X_\mu), m(F) = s$ and

$$\int_E f_0 d\mu = \int_{X_\mu^{-1}(E)} X_\mu dm = \int_0^s X_\mu dm$$

and hence also

$$\inf\{\int_E f_0 d\mu : E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu(E) = s\} \leq \int_0^s X_\mu dm \ ,$$

thereby establishing the last equality in 2.6. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let $a$ be a self-adjoint element of a von Neumann algebra $M$ equipped with a faithful normal tracial state $\tau$. Let $A$ be the von-Neumann algebra generated by $a$. Then, for all $s \in F_a(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf\{\tau(ap) : p \in \mathcal{P}(M), \tau(p) = s\} \\ &= \min\{\tau(ap) : p \in \mathcal{P}(A), \tau(p) = s\} \\ &= \int_0^s X_a dm \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

*if either*

1. *('continuous case') $\mu_a$ is non-atomic, or*
2. *('finite case') $M = M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

*Proof.* 1. (the continuous case) The assumption of non-atomicity of $\mu_a$ implies that $F_a$ is continuous and that $F_a(\mathbb{R}) = (0, 1)$.

Under the standing assumption of separability of pre-duals of our von Neumann algebras, the hypothesis of this case implies the existence of a non-commutative probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ and an isomorphism $\pi : A \to L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ such that $\int \pi(x) dP = \tau(x) \ \forall x \in A$ and $\pi(a)$ is a random variable $Y$, say.

We shall establish the first equality of 2.8 by showing that if $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\tau(p) = s$, then $\tau(ap) \geq \min\{\tau(ap) : p \in \mathcal{P}(A), \tau(p) = s\}$. for this, first note that if $E : M \to A$ is the $\tau$-preserving conditional expectation of $M$ onto $A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(ap) &= \tau(aE(p)) \\ &= \int YZ dP, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = \pi(E(p))$. Since $E$ is linear and positive, it is clear that $0 \leq Z \leq 1 \; P - a.e.$ So it is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf\{\int_\Omega YZdP : 0 \leq Z \leq 1, \int ZdP = s\} \\ &= \inf\{\int_E YdP : E \in \mathcal{B}, P(E) = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

For this, it is enough, thanks to the Krein-Milman theorem (see, e.g. [KM40]), to note that $K = \{Z \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P) : 0 \leq Z \leq 1\}$ is a convex set which is compact in the weak* topology inherited from $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$, and prove that the set $\partial_e(K)$ of its extreme points is $\{1_E : P(E) = s\}$.

For this, suppose $Z \in K$ is not a projection, Clearly then $P(\{Z \in (0,1)\} > 0$, so there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $P(\{\epsilon < Z < 1-\epsilon\}) > 0$. The non-atomicity of $\mu_a$ is inherited by $P$ and hence, we may find Borel subsets $E_1, E_2 \subset \{Z \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)\}$ such that $P(E_1) = P(E_2) > 0$. If we now set $Z_1 = Z + \epsilon(1_{E_1} - 1_{E_2})$, it is not hard to see that $Z_1, Z_2 \in K$ and that $Z = \frac{1}{2}(Z_1 + Z_2)$ showing that $Z \notin \partial_e(K)$ , thereby proving the first equality of 2.8.

Finally, the last equality in 2.8 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1.

2. (the finite case) First consider the case when $A$ is a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, or equivalently, when $a$ has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$. Recall that in this case, $F_a(t) = \frac{1}{n}|\{j : \lambda_j < t\}| = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j}{n} 1_{(\lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}]}$. It then follows that $F_a(\mathbb{R}) = \{\frac{j}{n} : 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ and that $X_a = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j 1_{[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n})}$ and 2.8 is then (after multiplying by $n$) precisely the statement of Ky Fan's theorem (but for self-adjoint matrices with distinct eigenvalues):

   For $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{\tau(ap) : p \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C})), rank(p) = j\} \\ &= \min\{\tau(ap) : p \in \mathcal{P}(A), rank(p) = j\} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

   For this, begin by deducing from the compactness of $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ that there exists a $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with $rank(p_0) = j$ such that $\tau(ap_0) \leq \tau(ap) \; \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with $rank(p) = j$. We assert that any such minimizing $p_0$ must belong to $A$. The assumption that $A$ is a masa means we only need to prove that $p_0 a = ap_0$. For this pick any self-adjoint $x \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t) = \tau(e^{itx}pe^{-itx}a)$. Since clearly $e^{itx}pe^{-itx} \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $rank(e^{itx}pe^{-itx}) = j$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we find that $f(t) \geq f(0) \; \forall t$. As $f$ is clearly differentiable, we may conclude that $f'(0) = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tau(ixpa - ipxa) \\ &= i(\tau(xpa) - \tau(pxa)) \\ &= i(\tau(xpa) - \tau(xap)), \end{aligned}$$

   so that $\tau(x(pa - ap)) = 0$ for all $x = x^* \in M$, and indeed $ap = pa$ as desired.

Finally, if $a$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ which are not all distinct, we may assume that $a$ is diagonal. Let $A_1$ be the set of all diagonal matrices, so that $A \subsetneq A_1$. Pick $a^{(m)} = diag(\lambda_1^{(m)}, \lambda_2^{(m)}, \cdots, \lambda_n^{(m)}) \in A_1$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \lambda_j^{(m)} = \lambda_j \ \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then the already established case of the theorem in the case of distinct eigenvalues shows that for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with $rank(p) = j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} tr(ap) &= \lim_{m\to\infty} tr(a^{(m)}p) \\ &\leq \limsup_{m\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i^{m)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \\ &\leq \min\{\tau(ap) : p \in A,\ rank(p) = j\ , \end{aligned}$$

there by completing the proof of the general case. □

**Remark 2.3.** *Notice that the hypothesis and hence the conclusion, of the 'continuous case' of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied by any self-adjoint generator of a masa in a $II_1$ factor.*

# 3 References

[BV93] Hari Bercovici and Dan Voiculescu, *Free convolution of measures with unbounded support*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), no. 3, 733–773. MR 1254116 (95c:46109)

[CH89] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. II*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1989, Partial differential equations, Reprint of the 1962 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1013360 (90k:35001)

[Fan49] Ky Fan, *On a theorem of Weyl concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations. I*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **35** (1949), 652–655. MR 0034519 (11,600e)

[KM40] M. Krein and D. Milman, *On extreme points of regular convex sets*, Studia Math. **9** (1940), 133–138. MR 0004990 (3,90a)